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ABSTRACT: Dielectric nanoparticles with moderately high refractive index and very low absorption (like Si and Ge in the visible–near-infrared (VIS–NIR) range) show a magnetodielectric behavior that produces interesting far-field coherent effects, like directionality phenomena or field enhancement in the proximity of the particle surface. As in the case of metals, ensembles of two or more dielectric particles can constitute basic elements for developing new spectroscopic tools based on surface field enhancement effects. Here we explore the electromagnetic behavior of the basic unit constituted by a dimer of dielectric nanoparticles made of moderately low-loss high refractive index material. The interactions responsible for the spectral features of the scattered radiation and field enhancement of the dimer are identified and studied through an analytical dipole–dipole model. The fluorescence of a single emitter (either electric or magnetic dipole) located in the dimer gap is also explored by calculating the quantum efficiencies and the quenching/enhancement of the radiation rates. Along this analysis, a comparison with metallic dimers is carried out. This study opens new possibilities to perform field-enhanced spectroscopy and sensing with nanostructures made of suitable dielectric materials.

INTRODUCTION

Nanoscale structures are fundamental building blocks to control and engineer the electromagnetic behavior of light at subwavelength dimensions. Metallic nanostructures are an object of high interest due to their ability to show resonances in the optical response given by the collective behavior of the conduction electrons near the surface of the metal, the so-called surface plasmons. These resonances depend strongly on the optical properties and geometry of the structures, allowing for the manipulation of light at subwavelength dimensions, thus acting as optical nanoantennas and providing a versatile tool to control light beyond the conventional diffraction limit. However, plasmonic excitations are known to be affected by relatively large losses. This unavoidable problem has stimulated the study of subwavelength dielectric particles with high permittivity, providing a potential to constitute building blocks of novel photonic platforms dealing with optical magnetism. In particular, silicon submicrometer-sized spherical particles show interesting scattering properties in the near-infrared range of the spectrum whose magnetic response has been experimentally demonstrated recently. Unlike plasmonic antennas and phononic antennas, where antenna effects are produced by the oscillations of the free electron plasma and collective lattice vibrations, respectively, dielectric antennas rely on the fields and displacement currents induced in the antenna structure. Furthermore, dielectric antennas can also provide control of the far-field radiation properties of nearby emitters due to the properties of coherence of the radiation of electric and magnetic modes. This coherent admixture of both types of modes can produce control over directionality of the scattered radiation, as shown theoretically and experimentally, for both microwaves and visible light. Other remarkable aspects of the interaction between high refractive index particles concern the possibilities to induce optical forces and to take advantage of near-field interactions for single-particle spectroscopy.
Here, we show that low-loss dielectric nanoantennas can be a powerful alternative to their plasmonic counterparts as an element to control the optical response at the nanoscale. To this end, we exploit the coherent interaction between the electric and magnetic dipolar resonances induced in sub-wavelength low-loss high refractive index spherical particles. We analyze a system composed by a pair of spheres interacting in close proximity as a natural combination that provides straightforward field enhancement and confinement derived from the response of the single-particle situation. This is probably the simplest realistic problem of multiple scattering by finite bodies, and it has been extensively studied in other fields like colloidal, aerosol, or atmosphere science, analytical chemistry, photonic crystals, astrophysics, etc.24–27 However, most of the earlier work focused on either small Rayleigh particles or large spheres showing high-order sharp resonances without strong overlap between the magnetic and electric responses. We present the results of numerical calculations of the near-field scattering properties and the near-field enhancement for a dimer of subwavelength silicon spheres. A simple analytical model, based on standard multiple scattering theory,24 shows how the mutual interactions of the electric and magnetic dipolar modes driven at each particle lead to the enhanced scattering obtained. Unlike the case of metals, the low-loss nature of silicon, its abundance in nature, its lower conductivity, and its better heat resistivity are encouraging features that drive this study. We also explore the potential of these dielectric nanoparticles as effective antennas to produce enhancement of the fluorescence of single emitters such as molecules or quantum dots located in their proximity.8,28,29 Indeed, loss-less Mie particles have been proven to promote magnetic transitions in atoms or ions.30 Such processes are usually enhanced due to a 2-fold aspect: enhancement of the excitation rate due to concentration of light by the antennas31,32 and enhancement of the emission rate from the molecules in the so-called Purcell effect.33,34 We will discuss here the effect of placing electric and magnetic dipolar emitters in the gap of the dimer, modifying the emission rates and the quantum efficiencies of such emitters. We will show that, while dielectric and plasmonic antennas provide comparable enhancements for the radiative decay rate of dipolar emitters, silicon nanoantennas yield larger and more stable quantum efficiencies since, unlike in plasmonic dimers, the energy of the dipolar emitters is not dissipated by Ohmic losses.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to analyze the near- and far-field enhancement achieved when a couple of subwavelength magnetodielectric silicon spherical particles forming a dimer interact with optical electromagnetic radiation. This configuration will be compared with conventional metallic antenna arrangements made of gold. Section 3 presents a numerical model based on the calculation of the interaction between two electromagnetic units, each one composed of both electric and magnetic dipoles. This will serve to analyze the different contributions to the electromagnetic interaction between the spheres. Section 4 analyzes the fluorescence enhancement properties of electric and magnetic dipolar emitters located in the gap of a silicon sphere dimer. A comparison with the results from a more conventional metallic dimer will be also presented. Finally, a summary and the main conclusions of this research will be drawn.
an intermediate peak around $\lambda \approx 960$ nm when the dimers get closer than 50 nm. We will consider this point as the threshold separation to trigger what we will define as the strong interaction regime. The appearance of this new intermediate peak is accompanied with an unusual blue-shift of the magnetic dipolar resonance. The mutual interaction of the electric and magnetic dipolar resonances responsible for the enhanced near-field and scattering in a broad spectral range will be discussed later, based on an electric−magnetic dipole−dipole interaction model.

To compare the far-field scattering by a silicon dimer with a typical metallic system used in field-enhanced spectroscopy, in Figure 2b we show the extinction cross section of a gold dimer of the same size, under the same illumination conditions and gaps. The comparison shows that the silicon dimer scatters as much as the gold dimer in the near-infrared range even exceeding the values of the plasmonic dimer at resonance.

Figure 2c also shows a comparison of the extinction coefficient of a Si dimer (blue solid line) and a gold dimer (red solid line) when illuminated with s-polarized light, that is, with the magnetic field along the dimer axis. Extinction of single silicon and gold spheres is shown as a reference in dashed lines. Similar to the case of p-polarized excitation when two of these dielectric spheres are coupled together at close proximity, the electric and magnetic resonances interact, distorting and producing new spectral features. However, now we observe that it is the magnetic resonance that red shifts due to the reinforcing of aligned magnetic dipoles and the electric one that blue shifts as a result of interaction of parallel dipoles, the latter overlapping and fusing with the magnetic quadrupolar resonance. We also point out that no matter the incident polarization the silicon dimer offers a better far-field response in the near-infrared regime.

In addition to enhanced far-field scattering, field-enhanced spectroscopy also demands an intense and localized electric field. Calculations demonstrate that intense localized electric near fields can be achieved in the gap of a dielectric dimer for the arrangement shown in the inset of Figure 2a. Figure 3 shows the electric near-field enhancement calculated at the center of the gap of a silicon dimer (Figure 3a) and a gold dimer (Figure 3b), considering different gap widths. Unlike in the case of metals, the incident electric field creates a depolarizing field inside the dielectric sphere. At the dipolar resonance, this depolarizing field gives rise to an intense dipolar electric near-field distribution around the sphere. Similar to the case of metallic particles, when two of such dielectric spheres are brought together to form a dimer, the dipolar field distribution together with the nonradiative higher-order modes originate the intense electric near-field in the gap. This near-field enhancement obtained in the case of dielectric antennas compared to that of plasmonic antennas, even though slightly smaller, is large enough to allow field-enhanced spectroscopy. It can be seen in the literature that average enhancement factors larger than $10^5$ may be quoted as SERS enhancements. Nevertheless, the slight decrease in the field enhancement obtained with dielectric antennas can be compensated by the
absence of absorption at the particles and a larger scattering cross section, a situation of interest in field-enhanced fluorescence for example.

In Figure 3c we compare the magnetic field in the gap of a Si dimer (blue line) and a Au dimer (red line), showing how the Si dimer can also effectively enhance the magnetic field when the incident light is s-polarized, that is, with the incident magnetic field parallel to the dimer axis. This excitation boosts the coupling of the magnetic modes supported by the close spheres forming the dimer. This is an interesting result, showing how low-loss dielectric dimers can enhance the electric, the magnetic, or both fields depending on the incident polarization (p-polarized, s-polarized, or circularly polarized).

The electric and magnetic near-field distributions in the proximity of an isolated submicrometer silicon sphere at resonant wavelengths are discussed in detail in ref 7. Here in Figure 4 we compare the electric and magnetic near-field distributions of a single silicon sphere with that of a dimer. The sphere radius is 150 nm for both cases, and the gap between spheres forming the dimer is 4 nm. Figure 4a shows the electric and magnetic near-field map of the single sphere at the electric and magnetic resonance wavelengths calculated in the plane normal to k and parallel to the dimer axis. Under plane wave illumination, the scattering from the 150 nm silicon sphere can be studied using Mie theory. The lowest-energy Mie resonance (magnetic dipolar resonance) in the Mie expansion is a magnetic dipole. Physically this corresponds to a situation where the electric field inside the sphere creates a circular electric alternate current loop, which mimics the behavior of a magnetic dipole. The current loop and the resultant dipolar magnetic field distribution can be identified by observing the near-field maps in Figure 4a calculated at magnetic resonance ($\lambda = 1108$ nm). At the second lowest-energy Mie resonance (the electric resonance), the depolarization field in the particle oscillates resonant to the incident field to create a dipolar electric field distribution around the sphere. This corresponds to the electric dipole, which is clear from the near-field maps calculated at the electric resonance ($\lambda = 864$ nm) of the sphere in Figure 4a.

When two such spheres are brought together to form a dimer and the system is illuminated with a plane wave polarized parallel to the dimer axis, the interaction of the induced electric and magnetic dipoles gives rise to a field distribution as shown in Figure 4b. In addition to enhanced far-field scattering in a broad spectral range (Figure 2), the near-field spectra also show enhancement of the electric field in a broader range of the spectrum. The field map clearly shows the localization of the enhanced electric field in the dimer gap calculated at $\lambda = 1100$ nm, although similar maps are obtained on a broad spectral range. Under the dipole approximation, the intense field in the gap can be understood as a result of two interacting electric dipoles oriented in the same direction close to each other. Since the induced magnetic dipoles in the dimer are arranged parallel and side by side, the net effect is to reduce the strength of the induced magnetic moment in each sphere when they are brought close to each other to form the dimer. This clearly explains why the magnetic near-field enhancement in a silicon dimer is lower than that compared to the single sphere case. To achieve enhanced magnetic field in the dimer gap, we use a configuration with incident magnetic field parallel to the dimer axis. Figure 4c shows the electric and the magnetic near-field enhancement distribution calculated at the coupled magnetic resonance ($\lambda = 1164$ nm) for this configuration. Complementary to the electric field enhancement in the antenna gap for the p-polarized incident light, a magnetic field enhancement is observed in the case of s-polarized incidence.

**ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC DIPOLE–DIPOLE INTERACTION MODEL FOR A DIELECTRIC DIMER**

To understand the origin of the spectral features in the extinction spectra of the dimer and the evolution of the spectra with the gap size, it is convenient to understand the system based on a simple electrodynamical model of interaction. To that end, we propose a dipolar model to study the mutual interaction of the electric–electric, magnetic–magnetic, and electric–magnetic dipole pairs induced in the silicon spheres both in and out of resonance. With the help of this model we are able to reproduce the dimer scattering spectra and the
radius $a$ are centered at $r_1$ and $r_2$, separated by a distance $D$ between the particle centers. The spheres are characterized by the complex dielectric function of silicon. They are illuminated with a monochromatic plane wave incident along $z$ with the electric field $E_0$ polarized along the dimer axis ($y$). The dimer is immersed in an infinite homogeneous lossless medium with relative dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r$ and magnetic permeability $\mu_r$ (i.e., with refractive index $n_r = (\varepsilon_r\mu_r)^{1/2}$).

For plane-wave illumination, the electric and the magnetic fields incident on the dipoles are given by

$$E_0(r) = E_0 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$$

$$H_0(r) = -\frac{E_0}{Z} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}_z \equiv -H_0 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\mathbf{e}_z$$

where $k$ is the wavenumber $k = (\varepsilon_r\mu_r^{1/2})/c$ ($c = 1/(\varepsilon_0\mu_0)^{1/2}$ is the speed of light in vacuum; $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity; and $\omega$ is the frequency), and $Z \equiv (\mu_0\varepsilon_0/(\varepsilon_r\mu_r))^{1/2}$ is the vacuum impedance.

We assume here that subwavelength silicon spheres can be characterized by their electric and magnetic polarizabilities, $\alpha_e = (6\pi/5)\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_m = (6\pi/5)\alpha_2$, respectively, being $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ the electric and magnetic dipolar coefficients of the Mie expansion.40 This assumption is valid as long as we keep the size parameter, $x = kr \leq 1$. The total electric and magnetic fields at any point $r$ are given by the sum of the incident fields, $E_0$ and $H_0$, and the electric and magnetic field scattered by the two particles, $E_{\text{scat}}$ and $H_{\text{scat}}$, respectively.

$$E_{\text{scat}}(r) = \sum_{j=1,2} \left\{ \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon_0 \delta_h} \mathbf{G}_e(r - r_j) \mathbf{p}_j + \right.$$  

$$+ iZk^2 \mathbf{G}_m(r - r_j) \mathbf{m}_j \right\}$$

$$H_{\text{scat}}(r) = \sum_{j=1,2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{Z} k^2 \varepsilon_0 \delta_h \mathbf{G}_m(r - r_j) \mathbf{p}_j +$$  

$$+ k^2 \mathbf{G}_e(r - r_j) \mathbf{m}_j \right\}$$

where $\mathbf{G}_e(r)$ and $\mathbf{G}_m(r)$ are the free-space electric and magnetic dyadic Green’s functions, respectively.

$$\mathbf{G}_e(r) = \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{i}{k r} - \frac{1}{k^2 r^2} \right) \mathbf{p} + \left( -1 - \frac{3i}{k r} + \frac{3}{k^2 r^2} \right) \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_m(r) = \left\{ \left( u_r \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) u_r \right\} g(r)$$

$u_r$ is the unit vector along $r$ and $g(r) = e^{ikr}/(4\pi r)$ is the scalar Green’s function. Note that

$$\mathbf{G}_M = \frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_E$$

The induced dipoles, $\mathbf{p}_j$ and $\mathbf{m}_j$ on sphere "j" are proportional to the corresponding incident total fields, $E_{\text{inc}}(r_j)$ and $H_{\text{inc}}(r_j)$, on each particle, respectively, being $j = 1,2$

$$\mathbf{p}_j = e_0\alpha_e E_{\text{inc}}(r_j)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_j = \alpha_m H_{\text{inc}}(r_j)$$

where $\alpha_e$ and $\alpha_m$ are the electric and magnetic polarizabilities, respectively.40 Each of these induced dipoles will experience the field of the other dipole, and they will interact self-consistently to produce the actual dipole moments. Thus, induced dipole moments are the solutions of the equations of self-consistently coupled dipoles

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = e_0\alpha_e E_{\text{inc}}(r_1) + \alpha_e k^2 \mathbf{G}_e(r_1 - r_2) \mathbf{p}_2 +$$

$$i e_0\alpha_e Z k^2 \mathbf{G}_m(r_1 - r_2) \mathbf{m}_2$$

$$\mathbf{m}_1 = \alpha_m H_{\text{inc}}(r_1) - i \alpha_m Z k^2 \mathbf{G}_e(r_1 - r_2) \mathbf{p}_2 +$$

$$\alpha_m k^2 \mathbf{G}_m(r_1 - r_2) \mathbf{m}_2$$

$$\mathbf{p}_2 = e_0\alpha_e E_{\text{inc}}(r_2) + \alpha_e k^2 \mathbf{G}_e(r_2 - r_1) \mathbf{p}_1 +$$

$$i e_0\alpha_e Z k^2 \mathbf{G}_m(r_2 - r_1) \mathbf{m}_1$$

$$\mathbf{m}_2 = \alpha_m H_{\text{inc}}(r_2) - i \alpha_m Z k^2 \mathbf{G}_e(r_2 - r_1) \mathbf{p}_1 +$$

$$\alpha_m k^2 \mathbf{G}_m(r_2 - r_1) \mathbf{m}_1$$

On the right-hand side of eq 10 the first term is related to the response to the incident electric field. The middle term is proportional to the action of the electric field originated by the electric dipole induced in the other particle labeled as "2", and the last contribution is given by the induced magnetic field of the magnetic dipole induced in the particle labeled as "2". In eq 11 the first term is related to the direct contribution of the induced magnetic field giving rise to an induced dipole moment. The middle term originates from the induced electric
field of the other dipole, and the final term is the result of the magnetic field of the magnetic dipole on the other particle. Equations 12 and 13 follow the same pattern with the indexes 1 and 2 exchanged.

Solving eqs 10–13 provides the values of the self-consistent electric and magnetic dipoles induced at each of the dimer spheres. Notice that this is a particular case of the formal solution of the two-sphere system\(^{15,26}\) considering only the two first \((a_i, b_i)\) coefficients in the Mie expansion of each sphere. Now the problem of the scattering dimer reduces to the calculation of the field scattered by the coherent superposition of the electric and magnetic dipoles obtained. The next section is devoted to the calculation of the total electric and magnetic field scattered by this set of dipoles representing the response of the dimer.

**Electric Polarization of the Incoming Plane Wave along the Dimer Axis.** To explain the spectra obtained from the simulations shown in Figure 2, we calculate the self-consistent values of the electric and magnetic dipoles induced in the two silicon spheres. We use Green’s function formalism to express the values of electric and magnetic fields at the position of the electric and magnetic dipoles in the two spheres located at \(r_1 = (0, D/2, 0)\) and \(r_2 = (0, -D/2, 0)\) (i.e., the gap between the sphere surfaces is \(d = D - 2a > 0\)). The plane wave is incident along \(z\) with the electric field polarized along the \(y\) axis (dimer axis) and magnetic field along the \(x\) axis as depicted in Figure 5. Then \(r_1 - r_2 = D\hat{e}_y\) and

\[
\mathbf{G}_E(r_1 - r_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_x = \left(1 + \frac{i}{kd} - \frac{1}{k^2D^2}\right) g(D) \mathbf{e}_x \equiv -g_{xx} \mathbf{e}_x
\]

(14)

\[
\mathbf{G}_M(r_1 - r_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_x = \left(-i - \frac{1}{kd}\right) g(D) \mathbf{e}_x \equiv g_{yy} \mathbf{e}_x
\]

(15)

\[
\mathbf{G}_E(r_1 - r_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_y = \left(1 - \frac{2i}{kd} + \frac{1}{k^2D^2}\right) g(D) \mathbf{e}_y \equiv g_{yy} \mathbf{e}_y
\]

(16)

\[
\mathbf{G}_M(r_1 - r_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_y = 0
\]

(17)

Equations 14–19 allow for obtaining the \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) components of the electric and magnetic fields at the position of particle 2 \((r_2)\), created by the dipoles located at particle 1 \((r_1)\). The fields at \(r_1\) can be derived using the following relationships

\[
\mathbf{G}_E(r_1 - r_2) = \mathbf{G}_E(r_2 - r_1)
\]

(20)

\[
\mathbf{G}_M(r_1 - r_2) = -\mathbf{G}_M(r_2 - r_1)
\]

(21)

When the incident light is polarized along \(y\), i.e., along the dimer axis, the induced electric and magnetic dipole moments can be expressed as

\[
p_{y1} = e_0\varepsilon_0\alpha_i E_0 + \alpha_m k^2 g_{yy} p_{y2}
\]

(22)

\[
p_{y2} = e_0\varepsilon_0\alpha_i E_0 + \alpha_m k^2 g_{yy} p_{y1}
\]

(23)

\[
p_{y1} = -\alpha_m k^2 g_{yy} p_{y2}
\]

(24)

\[
p_{y2} = -\alpha_m k^2 g_{yy} p_{y1}
\]

(25)

\[
m_{y1} = -\frac{\alpha_m e_0 E_0}{Z} + i\frac{\alpha_m k^2}{Z} g_{yy} p_{y2} - \frac{\alpha_m k^2}{Z} g_{yy} m_{xx}
\]

(26)

\[
m_{y2} = -\frac{\alpha_m e_0 E_0}{Z} - i\frac{\alpha_m k^2}{Z} g_{yy} p_{y1} - \frac{\alpha_m k^2}{Z} g_{yy} m_{xx}
\]

(27)

The first term on the right-hand side of eqs 22 and 23 is the induced electric dipole by the incident electric field, and the second term is due to the induced electric dipole oriented along \(y\) in the other sphere. In eqs 24 and 25, the first term is induced by the induced electric dipole in the neighboring particle and the second term by the magnetic dipole induced in the neighboring particle. The induced magnetic dipoles are oriented along the \(x\) axis (transverse to the dimer axis) and show three contributions: the first contribution comes from the incident electric field, the middle term is induced by the induced electric dipole along \(z\) in the neighboring sphere, and the final term is the effect of the neighboring magnetic dipole oriented along \(x\). Now we define the dressed polarizabilities \(\tilde{\alpha}\) as

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_y \equiv \frac{\alpha_y}{1 - \alpha_y k^2 g_{yy}},
\]

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_x \equiv \frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \alpha_x k^2 g_{xx}},
\]

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_m \equiv \frac{\alpha_m}{1 + \alpha_m k^2 g_{xx}}
\]

(28)

From eqs 22 and 23, we obtain

\[
p_{y1} = \frac{e_0\varepsilon_0\alpha_i E_0}{1 - \alpha_m k^2 g_{yy}}
\]

(29)

Simplifying and substituting the dressed polarizability \(\tilde{\alpha}_y\) from eq 28 gives

\[
p_{y1} = e_0\varepsilon_0\tilde{\alpha}_y E_0
\]

(30)

Following similar steps, substituting \(p_{y1}\) from eq 22 into eq 23 and comparing with eq 30 gives

\[
p_{y2} = e_0\varepsilon_0\tilde{\alpha}_y E_0 = p_{y2}
\]

(31)

Similarly, from eq 24 to 27

\[
p_{y2} = i\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_0\tilde{\alpha}_x Zk^2 g_{yy} m_{xx} = -p_{y2}
\]

(32)

\[
m_{xx} = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_m e_0 E_0}{Z} + i\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_m k^2}{Z} g_{yy} p_{y2} = m_{xx}
\]

(33)

In summary, we obtain the following set of self-consistent induced dipoles in particles 1 and 2

\[
p_{y1} = p_{y2} = e_0\varepsilon_0\tilde{\alpha}_y E_0
\]

(34)

\[
p_{x1} = -p_{x2} = -ie_0\varepsilon_0 E_0 \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_m\tilde{\alpha}_x k^2 g_{yy}}{1 - \tilde{\alpha}_m\tilde{\alpha}_x k^2 g_{xx}} \equiv -ie_0\varepsilon_0 E_0 \tilde{\alpha}_{x-m}
\]

(35)
The extinction cross section is related to the imaginary part of the amplitude dyadic in the forward direction as

$$\sigma_{\text{ext}} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im}\{\mathbf{e}_\text{in} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k}_\text{in}, \mathbf{k}_\text{out}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_\text{in}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

In our case, the scattered field is given by the sum of the contributions of the dipolar fields $p_x$, $m_y$, and $p_y$ given by eqs 3 and 4. In the far field $|kr| \gg |j|$, we have $(kr - j) \approx kr - k_{\text{out}} r_j$ with $r_1 = -r_2 = (D/2)\mathbf{e}_j$; $k_{\text{out}} = kr/r_j$; $k_{\text{in}} = k\mathbf{e}_j$; $\mathbf{e}_\text{in} = \mathbf{e}_j$; and

$$k^2 \mathbf{G}_e (r - r_j) p_j \approx \frac{e^{ir_2}}{4\pi r} (k^2 p_j - (\mathbf{k}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j) \mathbf{k}_{\text{out}}) e^{-ik_{\text{out}} r_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)$$

$$= \frac{e^{ir_2}}{4\pi r} (\mathbf{k}_{\text{out}} \times \mathbf{p}_j) \times \mathbf{k}_{\text{out}} e^{-ik_{\text{out}} r_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

$$k^2 \mathbf{G}_m (r - r_j) p_j \approx \frac{i k e^{ir_2}}{4\pi r} (\mathbf{k}_{\text{out}} \times \mathbf{p}_j) e^{-ik_{\text{out}} r_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

The extinction cross section is then given by

$$\sigma_{\text{ext}} = \frac{4\pi}{k E_0} \text{Im}\left(2 \frac{k^2}{4\pi} m_y - 2 \frac{k^2}{4\pi} m_1\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)$$

$$= 2k \text{Im}\{\bar{a}_y + \bar{a}_m + (\bar{a}_e - m_1^2 \bar{g}_{\text{sc}})\bar{a}_m\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)$$

This expression allows the understanding of the main spectral features of a dielectric gap antenna in terms of the simple dipoles induced at each particle. We first notice that the extinction (proportional to the work done by the external field) is associated to the excitation of the electric dipoles along the y-axis ($2k \text{Im}\{\bar{a}_y\}$) and the magnetic dipole along the x-axis. There is no work done by the external field on the electric dipole along the z-axis because they are orthogonal. The energy taken from the excitation of the magnetic dipole is distributed in two terms. The first, $2k \text{Im}\{\bar{a}_m\}$, corresponds to the excitation of the pure magnetic modes of the particles. The second term, $2k \text{Im}\{\bar{a}_e - m_1^2 \bar{g}_{\text{sc}}\}$, is associated to the coupling between the magnetic mode (along the x-axis) and the induced electric mode along the z-axis ($\bar{g}_{\text{sc}}$).

We plot in Figure 6 the result of eq 49 in two different dimers showing small separation (strong coupling regime) and relatively large separation distance (weak coupling regime), 10 and 50 nm, respectively. The far-field spectra, calculated using the theoretical model based on the dipole–dipole interaction formalism, agrees very well both quantitatively and qualitatively.
with the full numerical electrodynamical calculations performed using the FDTD method (Figure 2a). The first approach allows us to calculate separately the contribution of each interaction to the total extinction of the silicon dimer. Since the theoretical model is based on dipole–dipole interaction, the quadrupolar contribution around 800 nm obtained in the FDTD calculations is not present in the results obtained with the simple model. The feature around 900 nm in the weak coupling regime (Figure 6b) can be identified as the electric dipole–electric dipole (red dashed curve) interaction given by the first term in eq 49. The green dashed curves represent the interaction between the two magnetic dipoles in the two silicon spheres (the second term in eq 49). The black curve is the result of the interaction between the near field induced by the electric and magnetic dipoles in both spheres given by the final term in eq 49. It can be noted that the near-field-induced electric dipole–magnetic dipole interaction provides a substantial contribution to the total extinction when the dimer gap is very small (strong coupling regime), whereas this contribution is not so important at large separation distances (weak coupling regime). This is because the strength of the near field decreases rapidly as the particles move apart. This contribution to the extinction spectra is not observed in the case of metallic nanoparticles since they do not support the magnetic dipolar resonance due to the high losses they present. For completeness and to show the broad range validity of the model, we show in Figure 6c the far-field spectra obtained within the dipole–dipole model for silicon dimers for a broader range of dimer separations, obtaining good agreement with the FDTD results shown in Figure 2a.

**Near-Field Calculations.** To understand the interactions that contribute to the total near-field enhancement in the gap, we calculated the near-field spectra in the middle of the gap using the dipolar model. The results are summarized in Figure 7 for both the strong (Figure 7a) and the weak (Figure 7b) interaction regimes. The comparison of the electric field enhancement at the gap of the dimer given by the dipole model (blue) with that obtained within the FDTD full electrodynamical calculations (dashed blue) in Figure 7a shows the limitations of the simple dipole–dipole model when it comes to describing strong localized fields given by evanescent (non-propagating) components. Opposite to the scattering properties given by propagating far fields, the distribution of near fields often includes many high-order components that contain the evanescent nature of the fields in the proximity of the particles. This local distribution of fields needs to adapt to the particular size of the particle and boundary geometry. In our case, when the gap size is relatively large (weak coupling regime), the polarization of each particle can be correctly approximated by that of a homogeneously polarized sphere, thus the fields can be well described within the dipolar approximation. This situation is clearly illustrated in Figure 7b where we compare the results of the near-field enhancement spectra from full electrodynamical calculations (dashed blue line) with those obtained from the dipole–dipole approximation (solid blue line) for a gap separation of 50 nm. As shown in the figure, a reasonable agreement is obtained in this case. However, in the strong coupling regime (Figure 7a), the polarization distribution in the proximity of the surface boundaries becomes highly inhomogeneous due to the presence of the other particle. The description of these inhomogeneities thus cannot be described by the simple dipolar approximation and requires considering much higher-order terms. The comparison between the result of the exact electrodynamical calculation (dashed blue line) and that of the dipolar approximation (solid blue line) shows these limitations clearly. Figure 7c shows how the contribution of the dipolar–dipolar interaction to the near-field does not change substantially when decreasing the gap size, whereas the exact near-field should increase dramatically for small gap separations (compare, for example, the differences as the gap decreases between the exact results of the near-field in Figure 3a with those approximated ones in Figure 7c).

## CONTROL OF SINGLE EMITTERS

Dielectric antennas present a unique structure to enhance the decay properties of single emitters.\(^8\) We thus discuss the enhanced fluorescence of a dipolar emitter placed in the gap between our dimer. The decay rate of such an emitter located in the gap is modified due to two competitive processes: transfer of the energy from the emitter to the nanoparticle (observed exclusively for lossy nanoparticles)\(^32,43\) and the coupling of the field originated by the emitter to the outgoing radiation.\(^44,45\) The resulting modification of the emitter’s decay rate, known as the Purcell effect,\(^35,34,46\) has been extensively studied and applied to designing efficient nanoantennas for single photon emission,\(^39\) enhancement of the fluorescence intensity,\(^32,47,48\) and emission directivity.\(^45\) Here, we consider our silicon dimer system as a near-IR antenna and compare its efficiency to that of a plasmonic dimer. To this end, we place electric and magnetic dipolar emitters, which model the dipolar transition moment of a fluorescent molecule, with an intrinsic quantum efficiency of 1 and the decay rate \(\Gamma_0\) in the geometric center of the dimer and calculate both the power radiated by the system and the dissipation of the energy originated by the...
emitter into Ohmic losses. These quantities, when normalized to the power emitted in the absence of the antenna, yield the enhancement of the emitter’s radiative decay rate $\Gamma_R/\Gamma_0$ and of the nonradiative processes $\Gamma_{NR}/\Gamma_0$. The quantum efficiency is then defined as $Q = \Gamma_R/(\Gamma_R + \Gamma_{NR})$.

In Figure 8 we present the spectra of the radiative decay rate enhancement $\Gamma_R/\Gamma_0$ and the quantum efficiencies $Q$ for the electric dipolar emitters, with gap widths of 10, 50, and 200 nm. The emitters are positioned in the geometric centers of the dimers comprising two silicon (Figures 8a and 8b) or gold (Figures 8c and 8d) nanospheres, oriented either along (8a and 8c) or perpendicularly (8b and 8d) to the symmetry axis, as shown in the corresponding schematics. The radii of the spheres are 150 nm. Figure 9 represents the same quantities for an analog situation where the emitter is now a magnetic dipolar emitter positioned in between two silicon (Figures 8a and 8b) and gold (Figures 8c and 8d) nanospheres of 150 nm radius. Orientations of the emitters positioned at the centers of the systems are shown in the schematics. Gap widths are given in the legends.

**Figure 8.** Enhancement of the radiative decay rate and quantum efficiency of an electric dipolar emitter positioned in between two silicon ((a),(b)) and gold ((c),(d)) nanospheres of 150 nm radius. Orientations of the emitters positioned at the centers of the systems are shown in the schematics. Gap widths are given in the legends.

**Figure 9.** Enhancement of the radiative decay rate and quantum efficiency of a magnetic dipolar emitter positioned in between two silicon ((a),(b)) and gold ((c),(d)) nanospheres of 150 nm radius. Orientations of the emitters positioned at the centers of the systems are shown in the schematics. Gap widths are given in the legends.

Spectra of the radiative enhancement rates of the electric dipolar emitter shown in Figure 8a and 8b exhibit a selectivity of coupling to the geometric resonances similar to that observed for an emitter near a single sphere, with the orientation along the symmetry axis (Figure 8a) promoting coupling to the electric modes and—in the perpendicular orientation (Figure 8b)—primarily to the magnetic modes. For the smallest gap widths (red lines), at resonance wavelengths the radiative decay rate is enhanced by up to 300 (Figure 8a, $\lambda = 1300$ nm) and 12 (Figure 8b, $\lambda = 800$ nm), depending on the emitter’s orientation, while retaining quantum efficiencies over 0.1. In the setup comprising the gold dimer (Figures 8c and 8d), we observe a dramatically different behavior for the two orientations of the emitter. When parallel to the symmetry axis (Figure 8c), the dipolar emitter exhibits enhancement of the radiative decay rates up to 1000 ($\lambda = 1500$ nm; owing to the super-radiant coherent superposition of the dipoles induced in the spheres), with high quantum efficiency. For the emitter oriented perpendicularly (Figure 8d), the fluorescence is strongly quenched ($Q < 10^{-5}$).

Magnetic counterparts of the electric dipolar emitters—magnetic dipoles—have recently been investigated as prospective probes of the magnetic components of light or the magnetic local density of states (m-LDOS). It was also shown that, by accessing the magnetic resonances in single dielectric nanoantennas, we obtain a versatile control of the m-LDOS and thus of the radiative properties of such emitters. To extend this notion toward more complex systems, in Figure...
9 we present the enhancement factors and quantum efficiencies of the magnetic dipolar emitter coupled to the dimer silicon and gold antennas. For the emitter oriented along the symmetry axis of the dimer dielectric antenna (Figure 9a), we obtain a strong enhancement of the radiation rate (up to 250 for 10 nm separation) due to coupling to the magnetic excitations in the antennas. Significantly weaker enhancements (up to 25) are obtained for the emitter oriented perpendicularly to the dimer axis (Figure 9b), as a result of the interplay of the electric and magnetic excitations. The radiation rate from the magnetic emitters in the plasmonic antennas is either strongly quenched and suppressed (Figure 9c) or weakly enhanced (Figure 9d) for the emitter parallel or perpendicular to the dimer axis, respectively.

Similarly as for the electric dipolar emitter, the spectral features of the radiative rate enhancements of the magnetic dipolar emitter, collected in the upper panels of Figure 9a and 9b, qualitatively resemble those obtained for the magnetic dipolar emitter positioned over a single silicon spherical antenna. The emission rates for a magnetic dipole in the dimer gap and oriented along the dimer axis (Figure 9a) strongly correlate with the above-mentioned field enhancement for s-polarized light (Figure 3c). As in the case of a single silicon sphere, the dipole preferentially couples with the resonant (quadrupolar—$b_2$—and dipolar—$b_1$) magnetic modes. However, when the magnetic emitter is oriented perpendicularly to the dimer axis, the field enhancements (and the emission rates) are substantially lower. Moreover, in this case, similarly as for the single silicon sphere (see Figure 1 in ref 8), the radiation weakly couples to all electric and magnetic dimer modes.

The quantum efficiency of the emitter is primarily governed by the extinction coefficient of silicon, which grows steadily for energies above around 1 eV. Furthermore, significant dips in the quantum efficiency can be qualitatively assigned to the dips in the radiative rate enhancement.

It is worth mentioning that in some frequency ranges the emission rates, for both electric (Figure 8b) and magnetic (Figure 9a) emitters, are strongly reduced. For very small gap widths, this suppression of radiative decays coincides with a low quantum efficiency. However, inhibition of spontaneous emission does not necessarily correlate with quantum efficiency as it can be seen from our results for large gap widths. Notice that emission rates are constrained by specific sum rules derived from a Kramers–Kronig relation. In particular, any reduction in spontaneous emission rate over some range of wavelengths must necessarily be compensated by increases over some other range of frequencies. The strong dip in the emission rates observed in Figure 8b (for a perpendicular electric dipole) and 9a (for a parallel magnetic dipole) could also be observed even for a single lossless sphere, where quantum efficiency is 1, as discussed in ref 8. These results open new intriguing possibilities for applications requiring inhibition of spontaneous emission for specific dipole orientations.

Low losses and reasonably high values of the enhancement factors obtained for the dielectric dimers, in comparison to the factors obtained in plasmonic antennas, as well as the ability to tune the position of the resonances highlight the benefits of using dielectric nanoantennas for enhancing and controlling the emission from the dipolar electric and magnetic emitters.

**CONCLUSION**

A full electrodynamical study of the optical response of subwavelength silicon dimers was carried out to exploit their far-field and near-field properties as an antenna for novel field-enhanced spectroscopies. The interaction between the excited predominant dipolar modes (electric and magnetic) is analyzed by means of an analytical model based on electric and magnetic dipole–dipole interactions, providing a direct understanding of the electrodynamical interactions responsible for the optical properties of the dimer. This simple model not only identifies the spectral features originating from different electric and magnetic interactions but also helps to get insights about the origin of the near-field enhancement in the gap. We have numerically demonstrated that even though the field-enhancing capability of dielectric nanoparticles is comparatively less intense than that provided by metallic particles the absence of quenching of radiative emission and high quantum efficiency shown by an emitting molecule coupled to the electromagnetic modes of a dielectric nanoantenna makes these structures promising building blocks to develop optical magnetism. Together with zero absorption and directional emission, and the properties shown in this research, dielectric antennas become an alternative to conventional metallic ones for field-enhanced spectroscopy and sensing, free of resistive losses and with the property of enhancing the electric, the magnetic, or both fields.
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